

Page 1 of 7

FORM PTO-1449

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

ATTY. DOCKET NO.
0610.0050001

APPLICATION NO.
10/040,945

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
Robinson *et al.*

FILING DATE
January 9, 2002

ART UNIT
1642

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
DB	AG3	5,028,530	07/02/1991	Lai <i>et al.</i>	435	69.1	02/05/1990
DB	AH3	6,057,098	05/02/2000	Buechler <i>et al.</i>	435	6	04/04/1997
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION
	AL						Yes No
	AM						Yes No
	AN						Yes No
	AO						Yes No
	AP						Yes No

OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)

DB	AR	<u>56</u>	Court Docket, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed Sept. 30, 2002, pp. 1-12
↓	AS	<u>56</u>	Stipulation and Order Entering Consent Judgment, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed Sept. 10, 2002, pp. 1-2
↓ DB	AT	<u>56</u>	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed June 28, 2002, pp. 1-82

EXAMINER Harold Blahut DATE CONSIDERED 6/9/05

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

Page 2 of 7

FORM PTO-1449

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

ATTY. DOCKET NO.
0610.0050001

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
Robinson et al.

FILING DATE
January 9, 2002

APPLICATION NO.
10/040,945

ART UNIT
1642

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION
	AL						Yes No
	AM						Yes No
	AN						Yes No
	AO						Yes No
	AP						Yes No

OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)

<i>DB</i>	AR	<u>57</u>	Exhibit 1 - Xoma's Preliminary Claims Construction Statement, Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed June 28, 2002, pp. 1-48
<i>DB</i>	AS	<u>57</u>	Exhibit 2 - Summary of Statement to be Offered by Dr. Richard A. Lerner, M.D., Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3, California Northern District (San Francisco), June 28, 2002
<i>DB</i>	AT	<u>57</u>	Exhibit 3 - Summary of Opinions to be Offered by Dr. Dennis R. Burton, Ph.D., Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3, California Northern District (San Francisco), June 28, 2002

EXAMINER <i>Paul Blumenthal</i>	DATE CONSIDERED <i>6/9/05</i>
<small>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.</small>	

Page 3 of 7

FORM PTO-1449

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

ATTY. DOCKET NO.
0610.0050001

APPLICATION NO.
10/040,945

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
Robinson *et al.*

FILING DATE
January 9, 2002

ART UNIT
1642

MAY 24 2005


U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION
	AL						Yes No
	AM						Yes No
	AN						Yes No
	AO						Yes No
	AP						Yes No

OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)			
DB	AR	58	Biosite Incorporated's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, pp. 1-90
DB	AS	58	Revised Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed July 17, 2002, pp. 1-15
DB	AT	58	Exhibit A - Xoma's and Biosite's Proposed Constructions of the Disputed Claim Terms With Supporting Evidence, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed July 17, 2002, pp. 1-37

EXAMINER Samuel Blumenthal DATE CONSIDERED 6/9/05




EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.


FORM PTO-1449 SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT			ATTY. DOCKET NO. 0610.0050001 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Robinson <i>et al.</i> FILING DATE January 9, 2002	APPLICATION NO. 10/040,945 ART UNIT 1642
--	--	--	--	---

Page 4 of 7

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION
	AL						Yes No
	AM						Yes No
	AN						Yes No
	AO						Yes No
	AP						Yes No

OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)			
	AR	<u>59</u>	Exhibit B - Summary Opinion to be Offered by Dr. Richard Lerner. M.D., United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed July 17, 2002
	AS	<u>59</u>	Exhibit C - Summary of Opinions to be Offered by Dr. Dennis R. Burton, Ph.D., United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed July 17, 2002
	AT	<u>59</u>	Exhibit D - Summary of Opinions to be Offered by Dr. William Wickner, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed July 17, 2002

EXAMINER 	DATE CONSIDERED <u>6/9/05</u>
--	-------------------------------

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

FORM PTO-4449

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENTATTY. DOCKET NO.
0610.0050001APPLICATION NO.
10/040,945FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
Robinson *et al.*FILING DATE
January 9, 2002ART UNIT
1642

Page 5 of 7

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION
	AL						Yes No
	AM						Yes No
	AN						Yes No
	AO						Yes No
	AP						Yes No


OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)

DB	AR	60	Exhibit E - Summary of Opinions to be Offered by Dr. Gregg Silverman, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed July 17, 2002
DB	AS	60	Second Amendment and Supplemental Complaint for: 1. Fraud/Misrepresentation; 2. Breach of Contract; 3. Patent Infringement; 4. Misappropriation; 5. Unfair Business Practices; 6. Breach of Confidence. United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed June 4, 2002, pp. 1-49
DB	AT	60	Exhibit A - U.S. Pat. No. 5,028,530, Second Amendment and Supplemental Complaint for: 1. Fraud/Misrepresentation; 2. Breach of Contract; 3. Patent Infringement; 4. Misappropriation; 5. Unfair Business Practices; 6. Breach of Confidence. United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed June 4, 2002

EXAMINER




DATE CONSIDERED


EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

FORM PTO-1449 SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT			ATTY. DOCKET NO. 0610.0050001	APPLICATION NO. 10/040,945
			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Robinson <i>et al.</i>	ART UNIT 1642
			FILING DATE January 9, 2002	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION
	AL						Yes No
	AM						Yes No
	AN						Yes No
	AO						Yes No
	AP						Yes No

OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)			
	AR	<u>61</u>	Exhibit B - U.S. Pat. No. 5,576,195, Second Amendment and Supplemental Complaint for: 1. Fraud/Misrepresentation; 2. Breach of Contract; 3. Patent Infringement; 4. Misappropriation; 5. Unfair Business Practices; 6. Breach of Confidence. United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed June 4, 2002
	AS	<u>61</u>	Exhibit C - U.S. Pat. No. 5,698,417, Second Amendment and Supplemental Complaint for: 1. Fraud/Misrepresentation; 2. Breach of Contract; 3. Patent Infringement; 4. Misappropriation; 5. Unfair Business Practices; 6. Breach of Confidence. United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed June 4, 2002
	AT	<u>61</u>	Exhibit D - U.S. Pat. No. 5,846,818, Second Amendment and Supplemental Complaint for: 1. Fraud/Misrepresentation; 2. Breach of Contract; 3. Patent Infringement; 4. Misappropriation; 5. Unfair Business Practices; 6. Breach of Confidence. United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed June 4, 2002.

EXAMINER 	DATE CONSIDERED <u>6/9/05</u>
EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.	

FORM PTO-1449

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENTATTY. DOCKET NO.
0610.0050001APPLICATION NO.
10/040,945FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
Robinson et al.FILING DATE
January 9, 2002ART UNIT
1642

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION
	AL						Yes No
	AM						Yes No
	AN						Yes No
	AO						Yes No
	AP						Yes No

OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)

DB	AR	62	Exhibit E - U.S. Pat. No. 6,204,023, Second Amendment and Supplemental Complaint for: 1. Fraud/Misrepresentation; 2. Breach of Contract; 3. Patent Infringement; 4. Misappropriation; 5. Unfair Business Practices; 6. Breach of Confidence. United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed June 4, 2002
DB	AS	62	Exhibit F - U.S. Pat. No. 6,057,098, Second Amendment and Supplemental Complaint for: 1. Fraud/Misrepresentation; 2. Breach of Contract; 3. Patent Infringement; 4. Misappropriation; 5. Unfair Business Practices; 6. Breach of Confidence. United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, filed June 4, 2002
DB	AT	62	Answer of Biosite Incorporated to Plaintiffs' Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint, United States District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division

EXAMINER

Samuel Blumenthal

DATE CONSIDERED

6/9/05

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.